Nalisnik, Rhonda Santa Ana, CA Page 1 of 1

huclear, period.

Abruary 22, 2001 Yory Hartman U. S. Alest of Energy Oak Ridge Operations, DP-86 P.O. Box 2001 Oak Redge Jenn. 37831 Fax (865) 576 -1237 Dear hur. Lartman. The United States does not need a new plant to build, refurbish, or upgrade_ nuclear weapons. The 21 st century should be the era the U.S. finally becomes the leading spokes nation for stewardship, sanity and sustainability for life, not mutation. The US weapons storkpile needs to be demantled. The 1-12 Enveronment I Angul Statement and 4-12 Plan to build new plants for weapons production is a waste of tappager money in robbing our children future and threaten our credibility of "world leaker" status. Fisher of what? No to sew sweller please, no to new mulear weapons plants, and no to

Gour may respond in writing Sincerely,

Rhonda halismik

RHONDA NALISNIK
1901 N. Flower St.

Santa Ana Co. 92706
(74) 836-9858

Comment No. 1 Issue Code: 16

Comment noted. There is no plan or proposal in the Y-12 SWEIS to build a new bomb plant or to increase the size of the Nation's nuclear weapons arsenal. In accordance with Section 91 of the *Atomic Energy Act*, DOE carries out its mission (i.e., atomic weapons activities) consistent with the consent of and direction from the President and Congress. This consent and direction are contained in the Nuclear Weapons Stockpile Memorandum, which is updated annually. The issue of whether DOE should produce nuclear weapons and alternative uses of the Nation's funds are beyond the scope of the Y-12 SWEIS.